

On Certainty Ludwig Wittgenstein

On Certainty Ludwig Wittgenstein

Downloaded from www.remodelicious.com by Riddle & Carlo

UNPACKING THE FOUNDATIONS: AN INTRODUCTION TO ON CERTAINTY BY LUDWIG WITTGENSTEIN

In the vast landscape of 20th-century philosophy, few works are as deceptively simple yet profoundly revolutionary as **Ludwig Wittgenstein's On Certainty**. Compiled from notebooks written in the final 18 months of his life, this collection of aphorisms is not a polished treatise but a raw, urgent investigation into the nature of knowledge, doubt, and the bedrock assumptions that underpin our entire worldview. While Wittgenstein is famously known for his earlier work, the *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*, and his later, more pragmatic *Philosophical Investigations*, **On Certainty** stands as a unique synthesis of his mature thought. It directly confronts the problem of skepticism, not by trying to refute it with grand arguments, but by showing that the very request for absolute certainty is a kind of grammatical mistake. This article will delve into the core concepts of this seminal work, exploring its key themes, its relevance to modern epistemology, and why it remains an essential read for anyone grappling with the limits of human reason.

THE GENESIS OF THE INQUIRY: WITTGENSTEIN'S RESPONSE TO G. E. MOORE

To understand **On Certainty**, one must first understand the philosophical provocation that inspired it. The British philosopher G. E. Moore had famously argued against skepticism by using what he called a "proof of an external world." Moore held up his hand and said, "Here is one hand," and then held up the other, "and here is another." His argument was that since he knew these things with absolute certainty, he could prove the existence of external objects. Wittgenstein found Moore's gesture both compelling and deeply flawed. He agreed with Moore that there are things we hold as certain, but he completely disagreed with the way Moore framed this certainty.

Why Moore's "Proof" Misses the Point

Wittgenstein saw that Moore was trying to use an empirical proposition—"Here is a hand"—as a foundational claim in a philosophical argument. For Wittgenstein, this was a category error. When Moore says "I know this is a hand," he is not making a statement that can be proven or disproven through further investigation. Instead, he is expressing a form of **hinge certainty**. A hinge proposition is not something we arrive at through reasoning; it is the very framework that makes reasoning possible. You cannot doubt everything at once because doubt itself requires a background of certainty to operate. If you doubt that you have hands, you must also doubt the entire network of assumptions that makes the concept of "hand" meaningful—including your ability to perceive, your language, and your physical existence. This is not genuine doubt; it is a kind of intellectual vertigo.

THE ARCHITECTURE OF CERTAINTY: HINGE PROPOSITIONS AND THE RIVERBED METAPHOR

The most enduring concept from **Wittgenstein's On Certainty** is that of the "hinge proposition." Wittgenstein writes that the questions we raise and our doubts depend on the fact that some propositions are exempt from doubt, as if they are the hinges on which those questions turn. This is not a flaw in our thinking; it is a structural necessity. The book is filled with vivid metaphors to explain this, the most famous of which is the riverbed analogy. Our beliefs, he suggests, are like the waters of a river. They shift and change over time. But the riverbed itself—the underlying channel of fundamental certainties—is far more stable. However, even the riverbed can shift, albeit very slowly.

What Qualifies as a Hinge Proposition?

It is tempting to list out hinge propositions as a set of immutable facts, but Wittgenstein resists this. He is not a foundationalist in the traditional sense. For him, a hinge proposition is context-dependent. For a normal person in a normal context, "The Earth has existed for a long time" is a hinge. It is not something we believe after gathering evidence; it is the background against which we interpret all historical and geological evidence. Similarly, "I have a body" is a hinge. To seriously doubt it would be to step outside the normal language-game of human life. These propositions are not "secured" by knowledge; they are the scaffolding of our knowledge.

- **They are not empirical:** You cannot test a hinge proposition because testing would presuppose its truth.
- **They are grammatical:** They define the rules of the game, not the moves within it.
- **They are practical:** They are expressed in our actions, not just in our words. Our behavior shows what we are certain of.

THE LIMITS OF DOUBT: WHY GLOBAL SKEPTICISM FAILS

A major goal of **On Certainty Ludwig Wittgenstein** is to dissolve the problem of skepticism, not to solve it. Traditional epistemology has been haunted by the Cartesian skeptic who asks, "How do you know you are not dreaming?" or "How do you know the world was not created five minutes ago with all your memories intact?" Wittgenstein's response is radical: this kind of doubt is not meaningful. It is not a "real" doubt because it has no practical consequences. A doubt that is all-encompassing destroys the very ground on which doubt stands.

Doubt Requires a Context

Imagine a student in a classroom who refuses to accept any proposition unless it is proven. The teacher says, "Here is a chair." The student says, "But how do I know it's a chair? Could it not be a hologram?" The teacher might provide evidence—touch it, sit on it, ask others. But eventually, the student must accept something. The game of giving and asking for reasons has to come to an end.

That end is not a super-strong piece of knowledge; it is a form of life. Wittgenstein argues that doubt must have a "ground." He writes, "If you tried to doubt everything you would not get as far as doubting anything. The game of doubting itself presupposes certainty." This is the core insight: skepticism is not a threat to our knowledge; it is a parasitic activity that only makes sense against a backdrop of the undoubted.

KNOWLEDGE, CERTAINTY, AND THE LANGUAGE-GAME

One of the most subtle distinctions in **On Certainty** is between "knowledge" and "certainty." In everyday language, we use these words interchangeably, but Wittgenstein shows they operate differently. Knowledge is something that can be justified. If you say "I know it is raining," you can be asked "How do you know?" and you can provide evidence (looking out the window, hearing the rain). But for hinge certainties, this justification process is impossible. If someone asks, "How do you know you have a head?" you are at a loss. You do not "know" you have a head in the same way you know it is raining. You are certain of it, but that certainty is not a cognitive achievement.

The Role of Action

Wittgenstein emphasizes that our certainty is shown in what we do, not in what we say. Our actions are the ultimate expression of our world-picture. A person who claims to doubt the existence of the external world, but who still reaches for a glass of water when thirsty, is not actually doubting. Their behavior betrays their certainty. This is a powerful critique of intellectual skepticism. The skeptic can play the game of doubt in the study, but they cannot live it in life. This practical dimension is crucial to understanding **Wittgenstein's On Certainty**. It is not a theory of knowledge in the traditional sense; it is a description of how human beings actually operate.

1. **We act before we think:** Our most fundamental certainties are not intellectual beliefs but instinctive reactions.
2. **Language-games are grounded in life:** The ability to doubt or to know is a skill we learn within a community. We are taught what counts as a good reason and what does not.
3. **The world-picture is inherited:** We do not choose our fundamental certainties; we absorb them through our upbringing and culture.

THE ENDURING LEGACY OF ON CERTAINTY

While **Ludwig Wittgenstein's On Certainty** was written in the 1950s, its relevance has only grown. In an age of "fake news," alternative facts, and deep distrust of institutions, the question of what we can be certain of is more pressing than ever. Wittgenstein's work offers a powerful antidote to the idea that all beliefs are equally valid or that all certainty is naive. He shows that rationality is not a free-floating faculty; it is embedded in a shared form of life. For a community to function, there must be a set of hinge propositions that are not up for grabs. When those hinges are attacked, the very possibility of rational debate is threatened.

Applications in Contemporary Philosophy and Beyond

Wittgenstein's ideas have been influential in a wide range of fields. In epistemology, they have inspired a movement known as "hinge epistemology," which seeks to develop his insights into a full-fledged theory of knowledge. Thinkers like Michael Williams and Duncan Pritchard have used **On Certainty** to argue against radical skepticism. In the philosophy of science, Thomas Kuhn's concept of "paradigms" bears a strong resemblance to Wittgenstein's "world-pictures." In anthropology and sociology, the idea that our most basic certainties are culturally inherited has become a truism. Even in artificial intelligence and cognitive science, researchers are grappling with the problem of how to program a system that has common sense—a set of unspoken, taken-for-granted truths about the world. Wittgenstein's work suggests that this might be impossible to achieve through explicit rules alone; it requires a form of life.

A WORD OF CAUTION: MISINTERPRETING THE TEXT

It is important to approach **On Certainty Ludwig Wittgenstein** with care. Because the book is written in a fragmentary, aphoristic style, it is easy to take quotes out of context and distort their meaning. Wittgenstein is not a relativist who says all certainties are arbitrary. He is not an irrationalist who says we should just believe whatever we want. He is a philosopher trying to show the intricate grammar of our epistemic concepts. He is describing how our language works, not prescribing how it should work. The book is a kind of therapy. It aims to cure us of the urge to demand a kind of justification that is impossible. The goal is not to find a foundation for knowledge, but to see that the search for such a foundation is a misunderstanding of how our concepts function.

CONCLUSION: THE QUIET POWER OF THE HINGE

On Certainty is not a book that gives you easy answers. It is a book that changes how you ask the questions. Wittgenstein does not provide a new, improved theory of knowledge to replace the old ones. Instead, he shows that the traditional problems of philosophy are often the result of language "going on holiday." The book's greatest lesson is that certainty is not something we possess, but something we live. It is the silent, unshakeable background that makes our lives coherent. We cannot prove that the world exists, but we do not need to. Our existence is the proof. For anyone interested in the limits of doubt, the nature of belief, or the foundations of human understanding, **Ludwig Wittgenstein's On Certainty** remains an indispensable and endlessly rewarding work. It teaches us that the deepest certainties are not the ones we talk about, but the ones we act upon.